| SOU | TED STATES DISTRICT COURT THERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | X |
|-----|---|--|
| | Plaintiff(s), | :cv(LJL) :case management plan and :scheduling order |
| | Defendant(s). | : : : |
| LEW | IS J. LIMAN, United States District Judge: This Civil Case Management Plan and Sodance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure | cheduling Order is submitted by the parties in |
| 1. | All parties [consent / do not consent] to conducting all further proceedings before a United States Magistrate Judge, including motions and trial. 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). The parties are free to withhold consent without adverse substantive consequences. [If all parties consent, the remaining paragraphs need not be completed. Instead, within three (3) days of submitting this Proposed Case Management Plan and Scheduling Order, the parties shall submit to the Court a fully executed Notice, Consent, and Reference of a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge, available at https://nysd.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/2018-06/AO-3.pdf] | |
| 2. | The parties [have/ have not] conferred pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f). | |
| 3. | The parties [have/ have not] | engaged in settlement discussions. |
| 4. | Any motion to amend or to join additional parties shall be filed no later than [Absent exceptional circumstances, a date not more than thirty (30) days following the initial pretrial conference.] Note: Pursuant to Paragraph 3(C) of the Court's Individual Practices in Civil Cases, the Court will deny a motion to dismiss as moot, without prior notice to the parties, if a plaintiff amends its pleading without objection from the defendant. The moving party may then (a) file an answer or (b) file a new motion to dismiss. In the event the moving party wishes to rely on its initially filed memorandum of law, the party may so indicate in its motion to dismiss the amended pleading and need not file the memorandum of law again. | |

Pursuant to Paragraph 2(K) of the Court's Individual Practices in Civil Cases, parties may extend the deadlines set forth in Local Civil Rule 6.1 by an agreed-upon schedule,

which shall govern as long as it is disclosed to the Court in a letter accompanying the initial motion. The parties should discuss any anticipated motion in advance of the Initial Pretrial Conference and should come prepared to discuss a proposed briefing schedule for any anticipated motion.

| 5. | be completed no later than [Absent exceptional circumstances, a date not more than fourteen (14) days following the initial pretrial conference.] | | |
|-----|--|---|--|
| 6. | date conf | All fact discovery is to be completed no later than [A date not more than one hundred twenty (120) days following the initial pretrial conference, unless the Court finds that the case presents unique complexities or other exceptional circumstances.] | |
| 7. | The parties are to conduct discovery in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civ Procedure and the Local Rules of the Southern District of New York. The follow interim deadlines may be extended by the parties on consent without application Court, provided that the parties meet the deadline for completing fact discovery s in Paragraph 6 above. | | |
| | a. | Initial requests for production of documents shall be served by | |
| | b. | Interrogatories pursuant to Rule 33.3(a) of the Local Rules of the Southern District of New York shall be served by [Absent exceptional circumstances, a date not more than thirty (30) days following the initial pretrial conference.] No Rule 33.3(a) interrogatories need to be served with respect to disclosures automatically required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a). | |
| | c. | Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, contention interrogatories should be served consistent with Rule 33.3(c) of the Local Rules of the Southern District of New York. | |
| | d. | Depositions shall be completed by | |
| | e. | Requests to Admit shall be served no later than | |
| 8. | All expert discovery, including disclosures, reports, rebuttal reports, production of underlying documents, and depositions shall be completed by [Absent exceptional circumstances, a date forty-five (45) days from the completion of fact discovery.] | | |
| 9. | All | All discovery shall be completed no later than | |
| 10. | The proposed joint pretrial order shall be submitted on ECF in accordance with the Court's Individual Practices in Civil Cases and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3) | | |

| | no later than | . | | |
|-----|---|---|--|--|
| 11. | [To be filled in by the Court] A post-discovery status conference shall be held on: at By default, this conference is conducted in-person in Courtroom 15C, 500 Pearl Street. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | week prior to the sta | ng the Court on the status of the case shall be filed on ECF by one it status conference. The letter must be no more than three (3) single ould include the following information in separate paragraphs: | | |
| | (1) all existi | ng deadlines, due dates, and/or cut-off dates; | | |
| | (2) a brief de | escription of any outstanding motions; | | |
| | | escription of the status of discovery and of any additional discovery ains to be completed; | | |
| | (4) the status | s of settlement discussions; | | |
| | (5) the antic | ipated length of trial and whether the case is to be tried to a jury; | | |
| | other iss | the parties anticipate filing motions for summary judgment; and any use that the parties would like to address at the pretrial conference or information that the parties believe may assist the Court. | | |
| 12. | • | mary judgment must be filed no later than circumstances, a date fourteen (14) days from the completion of all | | |
| 13. | Privileged Materials | and Trial Preparation Materials | | |
| | claims of pri | have reached the following agreement with respect to assertions of vilege in compliance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5)(A) and Local 6.2 | | |
| | identifying w following, ec are also enc information, | parties are encouraged to exchange privilege logs or other means of withheld materials concurrently with, or as promptly as practicable ach production. In accordance with Local Civil Rule 26.2(c), parties ouraged to identify and use efficient methods for the exchange of such including as appropriate, the use of document-by-document, or metadata privilege logs.] | | |
| | Evid. 502. [| have / have not] reached an agreement under Fed. R. If the parties have reached such an agreement, they may file an proposed order under Fed. R. Evid. 502(d).] | | |

| | c. The parties [do / do not] believe that a protective order under Fed R. Civ. P. 26(c) is warranted. [If the parties believe that a protective order is warranted, they should consult the Court's Individual Practices in Civil Cases and the Court's website for the preferred form. Any such order may bind the parties to treat as confidential documents so classified but may not authorize the parties to file documents under seal absent an appropriate court finding. | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|
| 14. | This case [is/ is not] to be tried to a jury. | | |
| 15. | The parties have conferred and their present best estimate of the length of trial is | | |
| 16. | [To be completed by the Court] The trial date is at The deadlines for trial motions are as follows: | | |
| | a. Joint Pretrial Order | | |
| | b. Motions in Limine | | |
| | c. Proposed Voir Dire | | |
| | d. Proposed Jury Instructions | | |
| Absei | nt adjustment, responses are due one week after the motion is filed. | | |
| 17. | Counsel for the parties propose the following alternative dispute resolution mechanism for this case: | | |
| | a Immediate referral to a Magistrate Judge for settlement discussions. | | |
| | b Immediate referral to the Southern District's Mediation Program. | | |
| | c Referral to the assigned Magistrate Judge for a settlement conference [during/after] the close of [fact/expert/all] discovery. | | |
| | d Referral to the District's Mediation Program for a settlement conference [during/after] the close of [fact/expert/all] discovery. | | |
| | e Retention of a private mediator. | | |
| Гhe u Order | se of any alternative dispute resolution mechanism does not stay or modify any date in this : | | |
| 18. | Other issues to be addressed at the Initial Pretrial Conference, including those set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f)(3), are set forth below. | | |

| Counsel for | the Parties: | |
|-------------|--------------------|---|
| | | |
| Dated: | New York, New York | |
| | | LEWIS J. LIMAN United States District Judge |